

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DONATA HARDY

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES; LOS ANGELES  
POLICE DEPARTMENT; BART  
LANDSMAN, and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 10-2243 JAK (RZx)

Assigned to: Honorable John A. Kronstadt  
Courtroom: 750 (Roybal)

Assigned to: Magistrate Ralph Zarefsky  
Courtroom: 540 (Roybal)

**JUDGMENT ON SPECIAL  
VERDICT**

This action came on regularly for trial by jury on November 8, 2011, with Plaintiff, DONATA HARDY, appearing in person and by RAMI M. KAYYALI, her attorney, and Defendants CITY OF LOS ANGELES and BART LANDSMAN, appearing in person and by and through RICHARD M. ARIAS, Deputy City Attorney; a jury of nine person was duly impaneled and sworn; witnesses testified; evidence was received; and after being duly instructed by the court, the jury deliberated and thereon returned the following special verdict:

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DONATA HARDY

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES; LOS ANGELES  
POLICE DEPARTMENT; BART  
LANDSMAN, and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 10-2243 JAK (RZx)

Assigned to: Honorable John A. Kronstadt

Courtroom: 750 (Roybal)

Assigned to: Magistrate Ralph Zarefsky

Courtroom: 540 (Roybal)

**JOINT SPECIAL VERDICT FORM**

WE, THE JURY, in the above-entitled action find the following special verdict on  
the questions submitted to us:

**QUESTION NO. 1:** Did the Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that  
Defendant Bart Landsman, arrest Plaintiff Donata Hardy without probable cause?

1 **ANSWER:** Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X

2  
3 If you answer “No” to Question No. 1, have your presiding juror sign and date this  
4 verdict form.

5 If you answer “Yes” to Question No. 1, proceed to answer Question No. 2.  
6

7 **QUESTION NO. 2:** Did the Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that  
8 the arrest of Plaintiff without probable cause was a cause of injury, damage or loss to the  
9 Plaintiff Donata Hardy?  
10

11 **ANSWER:** Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
12

13 If you answer “No” to Question No. 2, have your presiding juror sign and date this  
14 verdict form.

15 If you answer “Yes” to Question No. 2, proceed to answer Question No. 3.  
16  
17

18 **QUESTION NO. 3:** Did the Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that  
19 Defendant Bart Landsman intentionally and deliberately submitted false information to  
20 the prosecutor?  
21

22 **ANSWER:** Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
23  
24

25 **Now answer Question No. 4.**  
26  
27  
28

**QUESTION NO. 4:** What do you find by a preponderance of the evidence to be the total amount of damages, if any, suffered by Plaintiff?

a. Economic loss, lost earnings \$\_\_\_\_\_

b. Non-economic loss, including physical pain, mental suffering and/or emotional distress \$\_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL \$\_\_\_\_\_

**Now answer Question No. 5.**

**QUESTION NO. 5:** Did the Plaintiff prove by clear and convincing evidence that Defendant acted with malice?

**ANSWER:** Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**Now answer Question No. 6.**

**QUESTION NO. 6:** Did the Plaintiff prove by clear and convincing evidence that Defendant acted with Oppression?

**ANSWER:** Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**Now answer Question No. 7.**

1 **QUESTION NO. 7:** Did the Plaintiff prove by clear and convincing evidence that  
2 Defendant acted with Reckless disregard?  
3

4 **ANSWER:** Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
5  
6  
7

8 DATED: 11-9-2011 ORIGINAL SIGNED BY THE FOREPERSON  
9 **FOREPERSON**  
10  
11  
12  
13

14 **JUDGMENT**

15 **THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:**

- 16 1. That judgment be, and hereby is, entered in favor of the Defendants and  
17 against the Plaintiff;  
18 2. That the Plaintiff shall take nothing;  
19 3. That the Defendants recover their costs of suit herein.  
20

21 Dated: December 6, 2011  
22



23 **JOHN A. KRONSTADT**  
24 United States District Judge  
25  
26  
27  
28